

The Spectral Radius of Free Haar Unitary Pencils

Vikus J. v. Rensburg

Joint work with Mike Jury

University of Florida Analysis and Probability Seminar

September, 2025

Outline

- 1 Introduction and Motivation
- 2 Haagerup's Inequality
- 3 Spectral Radius
- 4 Main Result

Introduction and Motivation

Introduction

- Fix an integer $d \geq 1$.
- Let \mathbb{F}_d denote the **free group** on d generators $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d\}$.
- Denote by

$$\lambda : \mathbb{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_d))$$

the **left regular representation** of the free group.

- The **reduced C^* -algebra**

$$C_\lambda^*(\mathbb{F}_d)$$

is the C^* -algebra generated by $\lambda(\mathbb{F}_d)$.

Introduction

- The image of the generators under λ is called **the free Haar unitary** generators, and denoted $u_j := \lambda(g_j)$.
- Fix $X_1, \dots, X_d \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$. By a **linear pencil** in free Haar unitaries we mean

$$X_1 \otimes u_1 + X_2 \otimes u_2 + \dots + X_d \otimes u_d.$$

- For example if $X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$X_1 \otimes u_1 + X_2 \otimes u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_1 + u_2 \\ u_2 & 2u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Preliminaries

Notation:

- Given a reduced word $w = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$ (not inverses) we denote

$$X^w = X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}$$

and

$$\lambda(g)^w = \lambda(g_{i_1}) \dots \lambda(g_{i_k}).$$

- For example if $d = 3$, and $w = g_2 g_1 g_3 g_3$, then

$$X^w = X_2 X_1 X_3 X_3.$$

- We denote the **length** of the reduce word by $|w|$.

Motivation

- A central question in Random Matrix Theory is to study the eigenvalues of a random matrix.
- In an ongoing project by Mike Jury and George Roman, they are interested in the eigenvalues of random unitary pencils

$$X_1 \otimes U_1^{(n)} + \cdots + X_d \otimes U_d^{(n)}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- Here, $U_i^{(n)}$ are independent, $n \times n$, Haar-distributed, unitary random matrices, and $X_i \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ are fixed coefficients.

Motivation

- There is a sense in which the deterministic operator

$$X_1 \otimes u_1 + X_2 \otimes u_2 + \cdots + X_d \otimes u_d$$

is the limiting object of the random unitary pencil.

- This notion is made precise by the work of Voiculescu in 1991 [9], and later developed by Collins and Male [3] in 2014.
- Our approach to understanding the eigenvalues of the large random matrices is to study the spectrum of this deterministic operator.

Main Question

Can we find a formula for the spectral radius

$$\rho\left(\sum_i X_i \otimes u_i\right)$$

only in terms of the coefficient matrices X_1, \dots, X_d ?

Haagerup's Inequality

Classical Haagerup's Inequality

- In 1979, Haagerup [4] proved

$$\left\| \sum_{g \in W_n} \alpha_g \lambda(g) \right\| \leq (n+1) \left(\sum_g |\alpha_g|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any finitely supported $(\alpha_g) : \mathbb{F}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $W_n \subset \mathbb{F}_g$ of all reduced words of length n .

Generalized Haagerup's Inequality

- In 1993, Haagerup and Pisier [5] replaced \mathbb{C} with an arbitrary C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .
- They showed

$$\left\| \sum_g \alpha_g \otimes \lambda(g) \right\| \geq \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_g \alpha_g^* \alpha_g \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\| \sum_g \alpha_g \alpha_g^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

for any finitely supported $(\alpha_g) : \mathbb{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

- Also if (α_g) is supported on $\{g_1, \dots, g_d, g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_d^{-1}\}$, then

$$\left\| \sum_g \alpha_g \otimes \lambda(g) \right\| \leq 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_g \alpha_g^* \alpha_g \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\| \sum_g \alpha_g \alpha_g^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

Generalizations of Haagerup's Inequality

- By Gelfand's Formula we need to estimate n^{th} roots of norms of

$$\left(\sum_i X_i \otimes \lambda(g_i) \right)^n = \sum_{|w|=n} X^w \otimes \lambda(g)^w.$$

- Haagerup and Pisier's generalization immediately gives a lower estimates, but not upper estimates.
- Luckily there is another generalization of Haagerup's inequality due to Buchholtz in 1999 [1].

Generalizations of Haagerup's Inequality

Notation:

- For integer $0 \leq k \leq n$, we denote

$$\|X\|_{C^k R^{n-k}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \dots \\ X_d \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \dots \\ X_d \end{pmatrix} (X_1 \dots X_d) \dots (X_1 \dots X_d) \right\|$$

where we have k -column operators, and $n - k$ row operators.

- The multiplication of two columns, or two rows are shown by example on the next slide.

Generalizations of Haagerup's Inequality

Example: $d = 2$, $n = 3$, $k = 3$



$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 X_1 \\ X_1 X_2 \\ X_2 X_1 \\ X_2 X_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 X_1 X_1 \\ X_1 X_1 X_2 \\ X_1 X_2 X_1 \\ X_1 X_2 X_2 \\ X_2 X_1 X_1 \\ X_2 X_1 X_2 \\ X_2 X_2 X_1 \\ X_2 X_2 X_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The result is a column operator of all words in $d = 2$ letters of length $k = 3$, ordered according to the lexicographic order on words.

Generalizations of Haagerup's Inequality

- A crucial fact for us is the "submultiplicativity":

$$\|X\|_{C^k R^{n-k}} \leq \|X\|_{C^k} \|X\|_{R^{n-k}}.$$

- Also observe that $\|X\|_{C^n}$ and $\|X\|_{R^n}$ are column and row norms, where the tuple ranges over all reduced words of length n .

Generalizations of Haagerup's Inequality

Theorem (Buchholtz[1]; 1999)

If $X_1, \dots, X_d \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{|w|=n} X^w \otimes \lambda(g)^w \right\| \leq (n+1) \max_{k=0}^n \left\{ \|X\|_{C^k R^{n-k}} \right\}$$

Remark:

- 1 We recover the generalized Haagerup inequality in the case $n = 1$.
- 2 This holds for more generally for $X_i \in \mathcal{A}$, which we come back to later.

Spectral Radius

Joint Spectral Radius

Given a tuple $X_1, \dots, X_d \in \mathcal{A}$, we can define two completely positive maps

$$\Phi_X^{\text{row}}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^d X_i T X_i^* \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_X^{\text{col}}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^d X_i^* T X_i$$

We then define

$$\rho_{\text{row}}(X) := \rho(\Phi_X^{\text{row}})^{1/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\text{col}}(X) := \rho(\Phi_X^{\text{col}})^{1/2}.$$

Joint Spectral Radius

Both spectral radii reduce to

$$\rho_{\text{row}}(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|w|=n} X^w (X^w)^* \right\|^{1/2n}$$

and

$$\rho_{\text{col}}(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|w|=n} (X^w)^* X^w \right\|^{1/2n}$$

since the norms are realized on the identity.

Joint Spectral Radius

The following authors have independently established results characterizing similarity to a strict row contraction via the joint spectral radius:

- Bunce (1984) [2]
- Popescu (2014) [7]
- Pascoe (2021) [6]
- Shalit and Shamovich (2025) [8]

Outer Spectral Radius: Matrix Tuples

For general $X_1, \dots, X_d \in \mathcal{A}$ equality of $\rho_{\text{row}}(X)$ and $\rho_{\text{col}}(X)$ fails, but for $\dim(H) < \infty$ we have equality.

Theorem (Pascoe[6]; 2021)

Let $X_1, \dots, X_d \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\frac{1}{n}} = \rho\left(\sum_i \overline{X_i} \otimes X_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\rho\left(\sum_i \overline{X_i} \otimes X_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is called the **outer spectral radius** of the matrix tuple (X_1, \dots, X_d) .

Main Result

Main Result

Theorem (Jury-JvR; 2025)

Let $X_1, \dots, X_d \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\rho\left(\sum_i X_i \otimes \lambda(g_i)\right) = \rho\left(\sum_i \bar{X}_i \otimes X_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof Sketch

The lower bound follows from Haagerup-Pisier generalization of the inequality, and the fact that both column norm $\|X\|_{C^n}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and row norm $\|X\|_{R^n}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ converge to the outer spectral radius of (X_1, \dots, X_d) .

For the upper bound, it suffices to prove if

$$\rho\left(\sum_i \bar{X}_i \otimes X_i\right)^{1/2} < 1,$$

then

$$\rho\left(\sum_i X_i \otimes \lambda(g_i)\right) < 1.$$

Proof Sketch

Denote

$$r = \rho\left(\sum_i \bar{X}_i \otimes X_i\right)^{1/2},$$

and choose t such that $r < t < 1$.

There exists $N > 0$ such that both

$$\|X\|_{C^n}^{\frac{1}{n}}, \|X\|_{R^n}^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq t, \quad n \geq N.$$

Choose $M \geq 1$ so that

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N-1} \{\|X\|_{C^n}, \|X\|_{R^n}\} \leq M.$$

Proof Sketch

For each n , choose $k(n) \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\|X\|_{C^{k(n)}R^{n-k(n)}} = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \{\|X\|_{C^k R^{n-k}}\}.$$

Let $j(n) = \min\{k(n), n - k(n)\}$. Now either $j(n)$ is bounded or not bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we can split into two cases.

Proof Sketch

Case 1: $j(n)$ bounded.

Suppose $\sup_n j(n) \leq K < +\infty$. For fixed $n \geq N + K$ we claim

$$\|X\|_{C^{k(n)}R^{n-k(n)}} \leq Mt^{n-K}.$$

Assuming the claim, we can apply Buchholtz's result, and take n^{th} roots to obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{|w|=n} X^w \otimes \lambda(g)^w \right\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq t \left((n+1)Mt^{-K} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The result for case 1 follows by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Sketch

Firstly, if $j(n) = k(n)$, then we have $t^{n-k(n)} \leq t^{n-K}$, and

$$\|X\|_{C^{k(n)}} \|X\|_{R^{n-k(n)}} \leq Mt^{n-k(n)} \leq Mt^{n-K}.$$

Secondly, if $j(n) = n - k(n)$, then $n - k(n) \leq K$ implies $t^{k(n)} \leq t^{n-K}$, and we have

$$\|X\|_{C^{k(n)}} \|X\|_{R^{n-k(n)}} \leq t^{k(n)} M \leq Mt^{n-K}.$$

Proof Sketch

Case 2: $j(n)$ unbounded.

Suppose $\sup_n j(n) = +\infty$. Choose a subsequence $j(n_p) \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\|X\|_{C^{k(n_p)}R^{n_p-k(n_p)}} \leq t^{k(n_p)} t^{n_p-k(n_p)} = t^{n_p}.$$

By Buchholtz,

$$\left\| \sum_{|w|=n_p} X^w \otimes \lambda(g)^w \right\|_{n_p}^{\frac{1}{n_p}} \leq \left((n_p+1) \|X\|_{C^{k(n_p)}R^{n_p-k(n_p)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_p}} \leq t (n_p+1)^{\frac{1}{n_p}}.$$

And the result follows since every subsequence of a convergent sequence has the same limit.

Proof Sketch

Lastly, the upper bound follows from applying the above claim to the tuple

$$Y_i := \frac{1}{\alpha + \epsilon} X_i,$$

where

$$\alpha := \rho\left(\sum_i \overline{X_i} \otimes X_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and homogeneity of the spectral radius. □

Operator Coefficients

For a general C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , we can use similar techniques to show:

Theorem (Jury-JvR; 2025)

Let $X_1, \dots, X_d \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\rho\left(\sum_i X_i \otimes \lambda(g_i)\right) = \max\left\{\rho_{col}(X), \rho_{row}(X)\right\}$$

Remark: We lose equality of $\rho_{col}(X)$, and $\rho_{row}(X)$ in the general case.

References I

- [1] Artur Buchholz. “Norm of Convolution by Operator-Valued Functions on Free Groups”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 127.6 (1999), pp. 1671–1682. ISSN: 00029939, 10886826. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/119476> (visited on 06/10/2025).
- [2] John W Bunce. “Models for n-tuples of noncommuting operators”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 57.1 (1984), pp. 21–30. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(84\)90098-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(84)90098-3). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022123684900983>.

References II

- [3] Benoit Collins and Camille Male. “The strong asymptotic freeness of Haar and deterministic matrices”. en. In: *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure Ser. 4*, 47.1 (2014), pp. 147–163. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2211. URL: <https://www.numdam.org/articles/10.24033/asens.2211/>.
- [4] Uffe Haagerup. “An Example of a Non Nuclear C*-Algebra, which has the Metric Approximation Property.”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 50 (1978/79), pp. 279–293. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/142617>.

References III

- [5] Uffe Haagerup and Gilles Pisier. “Bounded linear operators between C^* -algebras”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 71.3 (1993), pp. 889–925. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07134-7](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07134-7). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07134-7>.
- [6] James E. Pascoe. “The outer spectral radius and dynamics of completely positive maps”. In: *Israel J. Math.* 244.2 (2021), pp. 945–969. ISSN: 0021-2172,1565-8511. DOI: [10.1007/s11856-021-2198-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-021-2198-x). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-021-2198-x>.

References IV

- [7] Gelu Popescu. “Similarity problems in noncommutative polydomains”. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 267.11 (2014), pp. 4446–4498. ISSN: 0022-1236,1096-0783. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2014.09.023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.09.023>.
- [8] Orr Moshe Shalit and Eli Shamovich. “A spectral radius for matrices over an operator space”. In: *Adv. Math.* 479 (2025), Paper No. 110449, 24. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2025.110449. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2025.110449>.
- [9] Dan Voiculescu. “Limit laws for Random matrices and free products”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 104.1 (Dec. 1991), pp. 201–220. ISSN: 1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/BF01245072. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01245072>.